EFFICIENCY OF RAPD AND ISSR MARKERS FOR GENOTYPE FINGERPRINTING AND GENETIC DIVERSITY STUDIES IN CANOLA (*Brassica napus*)

HALA M. ABDEL MIGID

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Dakahlia, Egypt

Present address: King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia P.O. 3340

A great deal of research has been focused on oil crops of various plants, especially members of the mustard family (*Brassicaceae*) such as species of *Brassica*. Canola (rapeseed; *Brassica napus* L. genome AACC, 2n=38) arises from spontaneous hybridization between turnip (*Brassica rapa*) (AA, 2n= 20) and cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) (CC, 2n=18). It is now the second largest oilseed crop over the world after soybean (*Glycine max*) providing 13% of the world supplies (Abbas *et al*., 2009). Canola is primarily used for food and feed, but has recently gained an increasing interest as a source for bio-products (e.g., biodiesel). Besides that, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved canola oil with a Qualified Health Claim (QHC) due to its ability to reduce the risk of coronary heart disease (Miller-Cebert *et al*., 2009).

Like any other crop species, to improve quality and quantity of *Brassica* spp., presence of sufficient genetic diversity is very important. In the breeding process, significant improvement of quality and production was achieved, as well as utilization of rapeseed oil in human nutrition. However, genetic variability in this important crop is restricted with regard to many characters of value for breeding process (Marjanovic-jeromela *et al*., 2009). The success in breeding programs of a crop species largely relies on the presence of sufficient genetic diversity in the germplasm and knowledge about the characteristics of the genotypes and their genetic relationship. Various methods have been elaborated for this purpose. Pedigree analysis is the most widely used method for estimating the degree of similarity between varieties or populations, but the necessary information on ancestry is not always accurate or available. Application of morphological traits is hindered by their limited number and by the modifying effect of environmental factors in some cases. The spread of DNA markers has allowed the genome to be analyzed directly, thus eliminating errors caused by environmental factors. By using these markers, the genome can be characterized with great accuracy. In addition to the estimation of degrees of relationship between different varieties, a further important use of these markers is to distinguish between genotypes. Numerous molecular markers have been used for variety identification in various plant species, which

allow cultivar identification in early stages of plant development, being neutral to environmental effects (Mohammadi, 2002; Meszaros *et al*., 2007; Moghaddam *et al*., 2009). A variety of molecular markers including Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) (Thormann *et al*., 1994), Inter-Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) (Carolyn *et al*., 2000; Rudolph *et al*., 2002), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Sandip *et al*., 1999; Seyis *et al*., 2003; Jiang *et al*., 2007) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Ashik Rabbani *et al*., 1998; Lazaro and Aguinagalde, 1998; Divaret *et al*., 1999), have been used to study the extent of genetic variation among the diverse group of important crop species in the genus *Brassica* (Afiah *et al*., 2007; Marjanovic-jeromela *et al*., 2009).

In this study, RAPD and ISSR markers based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were applied. The value of RAPD analysis for efficient germplasm management in plants is already known (Young, 2000; Jaroslava *et al*., 2002). The technique is quick, easy and requires less time. This detects nucleotide sequence polymorphisms using a single primer of arbitrary nucleotide sequence (Welsh and McClelland, 1990; Williams *et al*., 1990). ISSR permits detection of polymorphisms in inter-microsatellite loci, using a primer designed from dinucleotide or trinucleotide simple sequence repeats.

Only a few papers comparing results obtained by different molecular genetic methods have been published in the case of *Brassica napus* L. The capability of individual methods to differentiate the analyzed canola genotypes is described here. The present study was therefore undertaken (1) to determine the efficiency of RAPD and ISSR markers for estimating the genetic diversity and (2) to estimate the genetic diversity of canola genotypes based on molecular characterization. For this purpose, 10 canola (*Brassica napus* L.) genotypes were analyzed and the results of genetic distances estimated by ISSR and RAPD markers were compared.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Ten canola genotypes from Egypt, Canada, Germany and France were used in this study. The seeds were supplied by Desert Research Center, Egypt. The genotypes included are shown in Table (1).

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA for each genotype was isolated using the protocol for medicinal and aromatic plants according to Anna *et al.* (2001). To remove RNA contamination, RNase A (10 mg/ml, Sigma, USA) was added to the DNA solution and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Estimation of the DNA concentration in different samples was done by measuring optical density at 260 nm according to the following equation:

Conc. (ug/ml) = OD_{260} X 50 X dilution factor. The quality of DNA was deter-

mined using agarose gel (0.8%) electrophoresis.

RAPD amplification

Amplification of RAPD fragments was performed according to Williams *et al*. (1990). A set of 25 random 10mer primers (Operon Technology, USA) from groups A, B, C, D and E was used in detecting polymorphism among different canola genotypes but 5 primers only were successful in generating reproducible and reliable amplicons (Table 2). The amplification reaction was carried out in 25 µl reaction volume containing 1x PCR buffer, 4 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 20 pmole primer, 2 units Taq DNA polymerase and 25 ng template DNA.

PCR amplification was performed in a Perkin Elmer 2400 thermocycler (Germany), programmed to fulfill 40 cycles after an initial denaturation cycle for 4 min at 94°C. Each cycle consisted of a denaturation step at 94°C for 1 min, an annealing step at 37°C for 1 min, and an extension step at 72°C for 2 min, following by an extension cycle for 7 min at 72°C in the final cycle.

ISSR amplification

Fifteen primers for ISSR were used in the study but only 5 were successful in generating reproducible and reliable amplicons. Names and sequences of the selected primers are shown in Table (3). PCR analysis was performed in 25 µl reaction as RAPD conditions and amplification was programmed to fulfill 40 cycles after an initial denaturation cycle for 4 min at 94°C. Each cycle consisted of a denaturation step at 94°C for 1 min, an annealing step at 40°C for 1min, and an extension step at 72°C for 2 min, followed by an extension cycle for 7 min at 72°C in the final cycle.

Detection of PCR Products

The products of both RAPD- and ISSR-based PCR analyses were detected using agarose gel electrophoresis (1.2% in 1X TBE buffer), stained with ethidium bromide (0.3 ug/ml) and then visually examined with UV transilluminator and photographed using a CCD camera (UVP, UK).

Data analysis

The RAPD and ISSR reproducible bands were scored as present (1) or absent (0), each of which was treated as independent locus regardless of its intensity. By comparing the banding patterns of genotypes for a specific primer, genotype–specific bands were identified. Faint or unclear bands were not considered. The binary data generated were used to estimate levels of polymorphism by dividing the polymorphic bands by the total number of scored bands. Band size was estimated by comparing with 1-kb ladder (Invitrogen, USA) using Totallab, TL120 1D v2009 (nonlinear Dynamics Ltd, USA). Data generated by RAPD and ISSR primers were used to compile a binary matrix for cluster analysis (NTSYSpc Ver. 2.1).

Genetic similarity among accessions was calculated according to Dice similarity coefficient and used to construct a dendrogram using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA) and sequential hierarchical and nested clustering (SHAN) routine (Sneath and Sokal, 1973).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymorphisms detected by RAPD and ISSR markers

Variations in DNA sequences lead to polymorphism which is indicative of genetic diversity. Results indicated the presence of wide genetic variability as a result of the high polymorphism among the studied genotypes of *B. napus*. Based on RAPD and ISSR markers data, high level of polymorphism was observed among the studied *B. napus* genotypes (Tables 2 and 3). The percentages of the polymorphic fragments were 87% and 78.8%, respectively.

A total of fifteen 10-mer arbitrary oligonucleotide primers were initially used to establish RAPD-PCR fingerprints of the 10 samples belonging to canola genotypes. Only five primers successfully generated reproducible polymorphic products (Fig. 1). The five primers used for RAPD analysis detected a total of 77 fragments, with an average of 15.4 fragments per primer. The percentage of polymorphism ranged from 68.4% (A16) to 100% (A12) with an average of 87%. Of the 77 amplified bands, 67 were polymorphic, with an average of 13.4 polymorphic bands per primer. A total of 22 unique bands were identified out of the polymorphic ones. The number of bands detected by each primer depends on primer sequence and the extent of variation in specific genotype. The number of amplified fragments varied from 8 (B11) to 21 (A12), and the amplicon size varied from 162 bp (A18) to 3154 bp (A12). ISSR primers produced different numbers of DNA fragments, depending on their simple sequence repeat motifs (Fig. 2). The ISSR primers produced 94 bands across the 10 genotypes, of which 86 were polymorphic. A total of 28 unique bands were identified out of the polymorphic ones. The number of bands ranged from 4 (HB12) to 32 (HB10), and the amplicon size varied from 127 to 3011 bp. Average number of bands and polymorphic bands per primer were 18.8 and 17.2, respectively. The percentage of polymorphism ranged between 25% (HB12) and 100% (HB10 and HB8) with an average of 78.8%.

This high level of polymorphism could be attributed to the location of those genotypes in different regions and/or their pedigree information. Also, higher numbers of bands for each primer indicates the existence of larger genetic diversity among the genotypes under investigation (Agrama and Tuinstra, 2003). Primers with higher polymorphic bands are more efficient in studying genetic diversity and discrimination of the genotypes (Moghaddam *et al*., 2009). In the present investigation, the RAPD and ISSR primers produced 77 and 94 bands, respectively, with

average of 15.4 and 18.8 per primer and polymorphism percentage of 87% and 78.8%, respectively (Table 4). In other studies, percentage of polymorphic primers in rapeseed reported was 76% (Hollden *et al*., 1994) and 6% (Mailer *et al*., 1997). Average number of bands reported in the literature were three (Ishida *et al*., 2000), 5.54 (Shiran *et al*., 2004), 8.6 (Kimura *et al*., 2000) and 9.6 (Sandip *et al*., 1999).

Genetic similarities based on RAPD and ISSR markers

Knowledge of genetic similarity (distance) between genotypes and among individuals or populations is useful in a breeding program because it permits organization of germplasm and provides more efficient sampling of genotypes to cross for the development of populations (Afiah *et al*., 2007). In this study, the UPGMA analysis dendrograms and similarity coefficients revealed good relationships between some cultivars (Tables 5-7 and Fig. 3). Genetic similarity was calculated from the dice similarity index value for all the 10 accessions of *B. napus* considering ISSR and RAPD approaches individually as well as together. Based on RAPD markers alone, the maximum genetic similarity was 0.78 between Egyptian cultivar 20/09 (Mar NBL) and Canadian cultivar (Global), while the lowest genetic similarity of 0.44 was between Egyptian genotypes Serw-4 (NRV-ARC) and 10/09 (Mar NBL) (Table 5). Based on ISSR markers alone, the maximum genetic similarity was 0.72 between Egyptian genotypes (20/09 and 10/09), (5/09) and Canadian (Global), in addition to, French (Pactol) and Dutch (Sedo) genotypes, while the lowest genetic similarity of 0.41 was observed between Egyptian (Serw-4), Canadian (Global), Egyptian (31/09) and French (Pactol) genotypes (Table 6). Based on both the marker systems together the maximum genetic similarity was 0.73 between Canadian (Global) and Egyptian (5/09), while the French cultivar (Pactol) exhibited the lowest genetic similarity of 0.47 with both of Egyptian cultivars (Serw-4, 31/09) (Table 7).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RAPD and ISSR

The phylogenetic relationships among 10 accessions of *B. napus* were analyzed by UPGMA method (Fig. 3). The cluster result indicated that all the genotypes could be distinguished by RAPD and ISSR markers, respectively. The results obtained from cluster analysis based on RAPD and ISSR data sets were also different. In general, the UPGMA based dendrograms did not show any clear pattern of clustering according to the locations from where accessions were collected. It is clear from the cluster analysis that accessions from one geographical region grouped together in some cases while they were placed in different clusters in certain cases (Fig. 3).

A dendrogram based on UPGMA analysis with RAPD data grouped the 10 accessions into one main cluster and sin-

gle genotype of the Egyptian genotype Serw-4 (NRV) formed a separate cluster showing less similarity coefficient (0.57) with the other genotypes (Fig. 3a). Genotypes within main cluster II are further divided into three subclusters (IIa, IIb and IIc). Subcluster (IIa) comprised Egyptian genotypes (31/09, 14/09 and 20/09) with Canadian and Dutch (Sedo and Global, respectively. Subcluster (IIb) comprised both Egyptian (5/09 and 10/09), while Egyptian (27/09) and French (Pactol) genotypes formed subcluster (IIc). Within cluster (IIc) 27/09 and Pactol appeared to be closer to each other with similarity coefficient of 0.70.

A dendrogram based on UPGMA analysis with ISSR data is shown in Fig. (3b). The 10 genotypes were grouped into two main clusters. Cluster (I) comprised Egyptian genotypes Serw-4 (NRV) and 31/09 with similarity coefficient 0.54. Genotypes within cluster (II) are further divided into 3 subclusters. The first subcluster (IIa) comprised of Egyptian (27/09), French (Pactol) and Dutch (Sedo) genotypes, the second subcluster (IIb) comprised of both Egyptian genotypes (14/09 and 5/09) and the Canadian (Global) one. Global and 5/09 appeared to be closer to each other with similarity coefficient 0.72. The remaining two Egyptian genotypes (20/09 and 10/09) were grouped within the third subcluster (IIc) with similarity coefficient 0.72. The ISSR and RAPD data were combined for UPGMA cluster analysis. The UPGMA dendrogram thus obtained from the cluster analysis of ISSR and RAPD data is shown in Fig. (3c). The clustering pattern of the genotypes in the combined analysis remained similar to the ISSR dendrogram, while the RAPD dendrogram showed some variation in the clustering of canola genotypes. Cluster analysis performed from combining data of both markers generated a dendrogram that separated the genotypes into two distinct clusters. The first cluster (I) comprised Egyptian genotypes Serw-4 (NRV) and 31/09 with similarity coefficient 0.58. the second cluster (II) was further divided into two subclusters. French and Dutch genotypes (Pactol and Sedo) were grouped into subcluster (IIa) and a single Egyptian genotype (27/09) formed a separate cluster showing less similarity coefficient (0.675) with other genotypes. Subcluster (IIb) comprised four Egyptian genotypes (14/09, 5/09, 20/09 and 10/09) with the Canadian one (Global). As in ISSR-based dendrogram, Global and 5/09 appeared to be closer to each other with similarity coefficient 0.70. In the same subcluster (IIb) each of the Egyptian genotypes 20/09 and 10/09 diverged into separate outgroup.

Thus, there was no clear clustering pattern of geographically closer genotypes in the present study indicating that the association between genetic similarity and geographical distance was less significant. Cluster analysis based on ISSR data assigned the genotypes in two main groups (Fig. 3b). In this grouping, two Egyptian genotypes (Serw-4 and 31/09) were located in a single cluster and separated from other Egyptian genotypes. On the other hand, European genotypes (Pactol

and Sedo) were located close to each other. Grouping based on RAPD data showed no distinct separation of Egyptian genotypes from European and Canadian types (Fig. 3a). Clustering of canola genotypes based on the combined RAPD and ISSR data revealed similar results with those of ISSR alone and could differentiate some of Egyptian cultivars from the rest of the studied canola genotypes. In similar studies, the superiority of the ISSR marker system in comparison with the RAPD marker system have been observed (Goulao and Oliveira, 2001; Moghaddam *et al*., 2009).

Therefore, it is necessary to use more number of accessions from each location to confirm the available pattern. Similar results were reported in castor (Gajera *et al.,* 2010), *Melocanna* (Lalhruaitluanga and Prasad, 2009); rapeseed (Marjanovic-Jeromela *et al.,* 2009) and in *Trigonella* (Dangi *et al*., 2004).

Comparison of RAPD and ISSR markers

It is important to understand that different markers have different properties and will reflect different aspects of genetic diversity. RAPD (Williams *et al*., 1990) and ISSR (Zietkiewicz *et al.,* 1994) markers have advantages and disadvantages for assessing genetic diversity. The two marker systems, ISSR and RAPD used in the present study have also been used as effective tools to evaluate genetic diversity and to throw light on the phylogenetic relationships in *Brassica* (Marjanovic-jeromela *et al.,* 2009), *Ricinus* (Gajera *et al*., 2010) and *Trigonella* (Dangi *et al.,* 2004). These studies have given important clues in understanding species relationship, which may further assist in developing and planning breeding strategies (Parsons *et al*., 1997; Li and Ge, 2001). In this study, both markers proved to be efficient and inexpensive way to provide molecular data. The ISSR method has been reported to be more reproducible (Goulao and Oliveira, 2001) and produces more complex marker patterns than the RAPD approach (Chowdhury *et al*., 2002), which is advantageous when differentiating closely related cultivars. Nevertheless, on the basis of higher percent of polymorphism (RAPD = 87%; ISSR= 78.8%) and similarity matrix, the RAPD markers were marginally more informative than ISSR in the assessment of genetic diversity in the studied canola. Similar results are reported in *Cladesia grandis* (Chen *et al*., 2006) and castor (Gajera *et al*., 2010). However, both of the markers worked effectively in the assessment of genetic diversity in canola as they exhibited more than 60% polymorphism.

A possible explanation for the difference in resolution of RAPDs and ISSRs is that the two marker techniques target different portions of the genome which are subjected to different mechanisms generating genetic variation (Lalhruaitluanga and Prasad, 2009). Polymorphic bands of a given RAPD primer may bind to many parts of the genome, so each primer may give information on the polymorphism of several chromosome regions. ISSR prim-

ers also provide a large quantity of polymorphic information, but this very detailed information only originates from a single hypervariable section of the genome (Meszaros *et al*., 2007). Also, higher mutation rate in the ISSR loci may be the reason for observing larger number of alleles in this marker (Moghaddam *et al*., 2009). For this reason, ISSR markers are ideal for distinguishing between genotypes that are genetically very similar. Some studies have shown that RAPD markers are found throughout the genome and may be associated with functionally important loci (Penner, 1996). However, there is little information to indicate that ISSR markers are functionally important (Gajera *et al*., 2010; Esselman *et al*., 1999). These differences may also be attributed to marker sampling errors and/or the percent of polymorphism detected by different markers (Gajera *et al*., 2010), reinforcing the importance of the number of loci and their coverage of the overall genome in obtaining reliable estimates of genetic relationships among cultivars (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2004). However, RAPD and ISSR markers are powerful DNA markers; effective and promising markers for assessing genetic variation in *B. napus* species.

In summary, the present findings further strengthened previous reports that the RAPD and ISSR markers can be used effectively to estimate genetic distances among genotypes. However, it is suggested that a greater number of genotypes and molecular markers is required to have better understanding of the presence of genetic variability in *Brassica napus* germplasm and consequently more efficient utilization of existing variability for improvement of this important crop.

SUMMARY

Genetic diversity evaluation among 10 canola (*Brassica napus*) genotypes was determined using RAPD and ISSR markers. A total of 67 bands polymorphic RAPD bands were detected out of 77 bands, with an average of 13.4 polymorphic fragments per primer. Number of amplified fragments with RAPD primers ranged from 8-21, with the size of amplicons ranging from 162 to 3154 bp. The polymorphism ranged from 68.4% to 100%, with an average of 87%. The five ISSR primers produced 94 bands across 10 genotypes, of which 86 were polymorphic, with an average of 17.2 polymorphic fragments per primer. The number of amplified bands varied from 4-32, with size of amplicons ranging from 127 to 3011 bp. The percentage of polymorphism using ISSR primers ranged from 25% to 100% with an average of 78.8%. Dice similarity coefficient was calculated for all pairwise comparisons and was used to construct a UPGMA dendrogram. Clustering of genotypes within the groups was not similar when RAPD and ISSR derived dendrograms were compared, whereas, the pattern of clustering of the genotypes remained akin in ISSR and combined data of RAPD and ISSR. The similarity coefficient ranged from 0.44 to 0.78, 0.41 to 0.72 and 0.47 to 0.73 with RAPD, ISSR, and combined dendrogram, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Samy Afiah (Plant Genet. Res. Dept, Desert Research Center) for providing the plant material and pedigree information of the studied genotypes.

REFERENCES

Abbas, S. J., Marwat, K. B. Farhatullah, I. A. Khan and I. Munir (2009). Molecular analysis of genetic diversity in *Brassica* species. Pak. J. Bot., 41: 167-176.

Afiah, S. A., A. Z. E. Abdelsalam, E. A. Kamel, A. E. Dowidar and S. M. Ahmed (2007). Molecular genetic studies on canola crosses under Maryout conditions. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings, 8: 633-642.

Agrama, H. A. and M. R. Tuinstra (2003). Phylogenetic diversity and relationships among sorghum accessions using SSRs and RAPDs. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 2: 334-340.

Anna, M. P., M. Hirsikorpi, T. Kämäräinen, L. Jaakola and A. Hohrola (2001). DNA isolation methods for medicinal and aromatic plants. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep., 19: 273a-f.

Ashiq Rabbani, M., A. Iwabuchi, Y. Murakami, T. Suzuki and K. Tankayanagi (1998). Genetic diversity in mustard (*Brassica napus* L.) germplasm from Pakistan as detected by RAPDs. Euphytica, 103: 235-242.

Carolyn, M., E. Keith and T. Martin (2000). Development of *Brassica* microsatellite markers 3rd ISHS International Symposium on Brassicas, 12 th Crucifer Genetics Workshop. 5-9 Sept., Horticulture Research International, Wellesbourne, CV359EF, UK.

Chen, J. M., W. R. Gituru, Y. H. Wang and, Q. F. Wang (2006). The extent of clonality and genetic diversity in the rare *Caldesia grandis* (Alismataceae): comparative results for RAPD and ISSR markers. Aquat. Bot., 84: 301-307.

Chowdhury, M. A., B. Vandenberg and T. Warkentin (2002). Cultivar identification and genetic relationship among selected breeding lines and cultivars in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). Euphytica, 127: 317-325.

Dangi, R. S., M. D. Lagu, L. B. Choudhary, P. K. Ranjekar and V. S. Gupta (2004). Assessment of genetic diversity in *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Trigonella caerulea* using ISSR and RAPD markers. BMC Plant Biology, 4: 1471-2229.

Divaret, I., E. Margale and G. Thomas (1999). RAPD markers on seed bulks efficiently assess the genetic diversity of a *Brassica oleracea* L.

collection. Theor. Appl. Genet., 98: 1029-1035.

Esselman, E. J., J. Q. Li, D. Crawford, J. L. Winduss and A. D. Wolfe (1999). Clonal diversity in the rare *Calamagrostis porteri* ssp. Insperata (Poaceae): comparative results for allozymes and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. Mol. Ecol., 8: 443-451.

Gajera, B. B., N. Kumar, A. S. Singh, B. S. Punvar, R. Ravikiran, N. Subhash and G. C. Jadeja (2010). Assessment of genetic diversity in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) using RAPD and ISSR markers. Industrial Crops and Products, 32: 491-498.

Goulao, L. and C. M. Oliveira (2001). Molecular characterization of cultivars of apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) using microsatellite (SSR and ISSR) markers. Euphytica, 122: 81-89.

Hollden, C., N. O. Nilsson, I. M. Rading and T. Sael (1994). Evaluation of RFLP and RAPD markers in comparison of *Brassica napus* breeding lines. Theor. Appl. Genet., 88: 123-128.

Ishida, M., E. Kaoru, F. Shuichi, Y. Makoto and N. Tsukasa (2000). Genetic diversity in Japanese rapeseed and Swede (*Brassica napus*) germplasm based on RAPD markers. 3rd ISHS International Symposium on Brassica, 12th Crucifer Genetics Workshop. 5-9 Sep. Horticulture Research International Wellsbourne, CV359EF, UK.

Jaroslava, A., K. Polakova and L. Leisova (2002). DNA analysis and their application in plant breeding. Czech J. Genet. Plant Breed., 38: 29-40.

Jiang, Y., E. Tian, R. Li, L. Chen and J. Meng (2007). Genetic diversity of *Brassica carinata* with emphasis on the interspecific cross ability with *B. rapa*. Plant Breed., 126: 487-491.

Kimura, Y., H. Fujimoto, T. Sakai, J. Imamura, C. Z. Ma and T. D. Fu (2000). Genetic diversity of Chinese and Japanese rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) varieties detected by RAPD markers. Breed. Sci., 50: 257-265.

Lalhruaitluanga, H. and M. N. V. Prasad (2009). Comparative results of RAPD and ISSR markers for genetic diversity assessment in *Melocanna baccifera* Roxb. Growing in Mizoram state of India. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 8: 6053-6062.

Lazaro, A. and I. Aguinagalde (1998). Genetic diversity in *Brassica napus* L. (Cruciferae) and wild relatives (2n = 18) using RAPD markers. Ann. Bot., 82: 829-833.

Li, A. and S. Ge (2001). Genetic variation and clonal diversity of *Psammochloa villosa* (Poaceae) detected by ISSR markers. Ann. Bot., 87: 585-590.

Mailer, R. J., N. Wratten and M. Vonarx (1997). Genetic diversity amongst Australian Canola cultivars determined by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA. Aust. J. Exp. Agric., 37: 793-800.

Marjanovic-jeromela, A., K. S. Anikca, S. P. Dejana, M. Radovan and H. Nikola (2009). Phenotypic and molecular evaluation of genetic diversity of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) genotypes. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 8: 4835-4844.

Meszaros, K., I. Karsai, C. Kuti, J. Banyai, L. Lang and Z. Bedo (2007). Efficiency of different marker systems for genotype fingerprinting and for genetic diversity studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). South African Journal of Botany, 73: 43-48.

Miller-Cebert, R. L., N. A. Sistani and E. Cebert (2009). Comparative mineral composition among canola cultivars and other cruciferous leafy greens. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 22: 112-116.

Moghaddam, M., M. S. Mohammadi, N. Mohebalipour, M. Toorchi1, S. Aharizad and F. Javidfar (2009). Assessment of genetic diversity in rapeseed cultivars as revealed by RAPD and microsatellite markers. Afr. J. Biotech., 8: 3160-3167.

Mohammadi, S. A. (2002). Statistical methods in genetics. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference of Statistics. August 26-28. Tarbiat Modarres Univ., Tehran, Iran, 371-394.

Parsons, B. J., H. J. Newbury, M. T. Jackson and B. V. Ford-Lloyd (1997). Contrasting genetic diversity relationships are revealed in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using different marker types. Mol. Breed., 3: 115-125.

Penner, G. A. (1996). RAPD analysis of plant genome. In: Jauhar PP (Ed.), Methods Of Genome Analysis In Plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 251-268.

Rudolph, B., M. I. Uunova and W. Ecke (2002). Don‘t discards microsatellite markers that don‘t produces amplification products in individual species! An example of genome analysis in amphidiploid *Brassica napus* L. plant species-level systematics: Patterns, processes and new applications. International Symposium. 13-15 November, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Sandip, D., J. Rajagopal, S. Bhatia and M. Lakshmikumaran (1999). Assessment of genetic diversity in *Bras-*

sica campestris cultivars using random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP): comparison of the two marker technologies. J. Biosci., 24: 433-440.

Seyis, F., J. Snowdow, W. Luhs and W. Friedt (2003). Molecular characterization of novel resynthesized rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) lines and analysis of their genetic diversity in comparison with spring rapeseed cultivar. Plant Breed., 122: 473-478.

Shiran, B., R. Azimkhani, M. R. Ahmadi and S. Mohammadi (2004). Assessment of genetic diversity among rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. Proceedings of the Fourth International Iran & Russia Conference. Moscow, Russia, p. 20-25.

Sneath, P. H. A. and R. R. Sokal (1973). Numerical Taxonomy. Freeman Press, San Francisco, CA, USA.

Souframanien, J. and T. Gopalakrishna (2004). A comparative analysis of genetic diversity in black gram genotypes using RAPD and ISSR markers. Theor. Appl. Genet., 109: 1687-1693.

Thormann, C. E., M. E. Ferreira, L. E. A. Carmago, J. G. Tivang and T. C. Osborn (1994). Comparison of RFLP and RAPD markers to estimate genetic relationships within and among cruciferous species. Theor. Appl. Genet., 88: 973-980.

Welsh, J. and M. McClelland (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. Nucleic Acids Res., 18: 7213-7218.

Williams, J. G. K., A. R. Kubelik, K. J. Livak, J. A. Rafalski and S. V. Tingey (1990). DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucl. Acids Res., 18: 6531-6536.

Young, N. D. (2000). Constructing a plant genetic map with DNA markers. In: Phillips RL, Vasil JK (Eds) DNA-based markers in plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Zietkiewicz, E., A. Rafalski and D. Labuda (1994). Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat (SSR)-anchored polymerase chain reaction amplification. Genomics, 20: 176-183.

Table (1): List of canola cultivars used in this study, their origin and pedigree information.

No.	Code	Origin	Pedigree and selection history
1	Serw-4	NRV-ARC(Egypt)	Unknown
2	31/09	DRC, Mar NBL (Egypt)	T1x L5 Sel.31 Maryout 2005
3	27/09	DRC, Mar NBL (Egypt	T2x L5 Sel. Maryout 2005
4	Pactol	France	Unknown
5	Sedo	Germany	Unknown
6	14/09	DRC, Sudr NBL (Egypt)	C103/Sedo*2C103-14C-6Su-1Su-1Su-13Sw-2Sw-0Sw
7	Global	Canada	Unknown
8	5/09 (56/16)	DRC, Siwa NBL (Egypt)	Cresor/Duplo 18C-121Su-4Sw-15Sw-1Sw-0Sw
9	20/09	DRC, Mar NBL (Egypt)	T1x L1 Sel.20 Maryout 2005
10	10/09	DRC, Mar NBL (Egypt)	T1x Serw4 Sel.10 Maryout 2005

NRV-ARC: Newly released variety through Agriculture Research Center –Oil Crops Department.
NBL#: Newly Bred Lines selected through Desert Research Center Canola breeding program at Siwa, Ras-Sudr and Maryout experimental research stations.

Table (2): List of RAPD primers, the number of amplified products, the number of polymorphic and monomorphic bands, and percentage of polymorphism obtained by analyzing 10 cultivars of canola.

Sr.no.	Primers	sequence (5´-3´) Primer	Mol. wt range (bp)	Total no. of bands	Number of polymorphic bands	Number of monomorphic bands	%polymor-phism
1	A12	5'-TCGGCGATAG-3'	167-3154	21	21	0	100.0
2	A16	5'-AGCCAGCGAA-3'	172-1790	19	13	6	68.4
3	A18	5'-AGGTGACCGT-3'	162-963	15	13	2	86.6
4	A09	5'-GGGTAACGCC-3'	164-1535	14	13	1	92.8
5	B11	5'-GTAGACCCGT-3'	239-688	8	7	1	87.5

Table (3): List of ISSR primers, the number of amplified products, the number of polymorphic and monomorphic bands and percentage of polymorphism obtained by analyzing 10 cultivars of canola.

Sr. no.	Primers	Primer sequence (5´-3´)	Mol. Wt. range (bp)	Total no. of bands	Number of polymorphic bands	Number of monomorphic bands	%polymor-phism
1	HB10	(GA)6CC	194-3011	32	32	0	100.0
2	HB11	(GT)6CC	127-1870	15	11	4	73.3
3	HB12	(CAC)3GC	265-728	4	1	3	25.0
4	HB14	(CTC)3GC	154-2056	24	23	1	95.8
5	HB08	(GA)6GG	284-2041	19	19	0	100.0

Table (4): Comparison of DNA marker systems in *B. napus.*

Marker System	No. of primers	% polymorphism	Average No. of bands/primer	Average No. of polymorphic bands/primer
RAPD	5	87.0	15.4	13.4
ISSR	5	78.8	18.8	17.2
RAPD+ISSR	10	83.6	17.1	15.3

Table (5): Dice similarity coefficient of 10 genotypes based on RAPD data analysis.

	Serw-4	31/09	27/09	Pactol	Sedo	14/09	Global	5/09	20/09	10/09
Serw-4	1.00									
31/09	0.63	1.00								
27/09	0.55	0.60	1.00							
Pactol	0.48	0.54	0.70	1.00						
Sedo	0.64	0.68	0.74	0.68	1.00					
14/09	0.62	0.66	0.66	0.63	0.76	1.00				
Global	0.64	0.72	0.72	0.64	0.73	0.74	1.00			
5/09	0.50	0.66	0.59	0.66	0.73	0.71	0.74	1.00		
20/09	0.64	0.68	0.64	0.64	0.69	0.77	**0.78**	0.70	1.00	
10/09	**0.44**	0.56	0.69	0.60	0.67	0.71	0.60	0.74	0.60	1.00

Table (6): Dice similarity coefficient of 10 genotypes based on ISSR data analysis.

	Serw-4	31/09	27/09	Pactol	Sedo	14/09	Global	5/09	20/09	10/09
Serw-4	1.00									
31/09	0.54	1.00								
27/09	0.55	0.55	1.00							
Pactol	0.47	**0.41**	0.64	1.00						
Sedo	0.54	0.51	0.62	**0.72**	1.00					
14/09	0.52	0.43	0.67	0.61	0.56	1.00				
Global	**0.41**	0.44	0.58	0.59	0.64	0.68	1.00			
5/09	0.58	0.52	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.69	**0.72**	1.00		
20/09	0.50	0.50	0.62	0.56	0.51	0.59	0.54	0.62	1.00	
10/09	0.52	0.49	0.63	0.64	0.59	0.67	0.68	0.69	**0.72**	1.00

Table (7): Dice similarity coefficient of 10 genotypes based on RAPD+ISSR data analysis

	Serw-4	31/09	27/09	Pactol	Sedo	14/09	Global	5/09	20/09	10/09
Serw-4	1.00									
31/09	0.58	1.00								
27/09	0.55	0.58	1.00							
Pactol	**0.47**	**0.47**	0.67	1.00						
Sedo	0.59	0.60	0.68	0.70	1.00					
14/09	0.57	0.54	0.66	0.62	0.66	1.00				
Global	0.50	0.57	0.64	0.61	0.68	0.70	1.00			
5/09	0.55	0.59	0.61	0.64	0.67	0.70	**0.73**	1.00		
20/09	0.56	0.59	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.68	0.64	0.66	1.00	
10/09	0.48	0.53	0.66	0.62	0.63	0.69	0.64	0.71	0.66	1.00



Fig. (1): RAPD-PCR amplification products of 10 accessions of canola produced by (a) primer A12, (b) primer A16, (c) primer A18, (d) primer A9, (e) primer B11. Lane M is 1-kb ladder and lanes 1-10 represent different canola accessions as listed in Table (1).



Fig. (2): SSR-PCR products of 10 accessions of canola produced with (a) Primer HB10, (b) primer HB11, (c) Primer HB12, (d) HB14, (c) Primer HB12. Lane M is 1-kb ladder and lanes 1-10 represent different canola accessions as listed in Table (1).



Fig. (3): Dendrograms obtained from 10 accessions of canola with UPGMA based on Dice coefficient. (a) RAPD data-based dendrogram (b) ISSR data-based dendrogram, (c) combined (ISSR and RAPD) data based dendrogram.